

SPRINGER MOTIVES

JENS NIKLAS EBERHARDT

ABSTRACT. We show that the motive of a Springer fiber is pure Tate. We then consider a category of equivariant Springer motives on the nilpotent cone and construct an equivalence to the derived category of graded modules over the graded affine Hecke algebra.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---------------------------------|----|
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 2. Motive of the Springer Fiber | 3 |
| 3. Equivariant Springer Motives | 7 |
| References | 10 |

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motive of the Springer Fiber. Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group over an algebraically closed field k . Denote by $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ the associated nilpotent cone and by $\mu : \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ the Springer resolution. For $N \in \mathcal{N}$, denote by $\mathcal{B}_N = \mu^{-1}(N) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ the Springer fiber.

Let Λ be some commutative ring of coefficients. Denote by $\mathrm{DM}(\mathrm{Spec}(k), \Lambda)$ the triangulated category of Voevodsky motives over the base field k with coefficients in Λ (as considered in [MVW06]).

Theorem 1.1 (Springer Fiber is Pure Tate). *The motive of the Springer fiber $M(\mathcal{B}_N) \in \mathrm{DM}(\mathrm{Spec}(k), \Lambda)$ is pure Tate, that is, a direct sum of Tate motives $\Lambda(n)[2n]$ for $n \geq 0$, if either $\mathrm{char} k = 0$ or if $p = \mathrm{char} k > 0$ and the following three conditions hold:*

- (1) p is a good prime for every classical group appearing as a constituent of G .
- (2) $p > 3(h + 1)$, where h denotes the maximum of all Coxeter numbers of exceptional constituents in G .
- (3) p is invertible in Λ or $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ admits resolutions of singularities.

Remark 1.2. (1) Springer fibers for classical groups admit an affine paving. This is shown in [DLP88, Theorem 3.9] for $\mathrm{char} k = 0$ and generalized in [Jan04, Chapter 11] to $p = \mathrm{char} k > 0$ for good primes p . The existence of an affine paving almost immediately implies that $M(\mathcal{B}_N)$ is Tate.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES, 520 PORTOLA PLAZA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095

E-mail address: jens@math.ucla.edu.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B10, 22E46.

(2) For exceptional groups, the existence of an affine paving is not known. However, [DLP88] show a slightly weaker result, namely that for $k = \mathbb{C}$ the Borel–Moore-homology of \mathcal{B}_N is torsion free, concentrated in even degrees and generated by algebraic cycles. We show how to adapt their arguments to prove that $M(\mathcal{B}_N)$ is pure Tate in this case, under the assumption on $\text{char}(k)$.

(3) The last assumption on Λ and p ensures a good behavior of motives of singular varieties in $\text{DM}(-, \Lambda)$, see [Kel17]. It for example guarantees the existence of the localization triangle.

1.2. Equivariant Springer Motives. Now let $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. In [SVW18, Chapter II] Soergel–Wendt–Virk construct a mixed version of the Bernstein–Lunts equivariant derived category using motivic sheaves. To a linear group H acting on a variety $X \in \text{Var}_k$ with finitely many orbits they associate a \mathbb{Q} -linear tensor triangulated category of G -equivariant orbitwise mixed Tate motives $\text{MTDer}_H(X)$. We use this to define the category of H -equivariant Springer motives

$$\text{MTDer}_H^{\text{Spr}}(\mathcal{N}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \mu_*(\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}})(n)[2n] \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle_{\Delta} \subset \text{MTDer}_H(\mathcal{N})$$

as full triangulated subcategory of $\text{MTDer}_H(\mathcal{N})$ generated by the Springer motive $\mu_*(\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}})$ and its Tate twists/shifts. Here H denotes G or $H = G \times \mathbb{G}_m$, acting on \mathcal{N} in the natural way.

We will show

Theorem 1.3 (Motivic Derived Springer Correspondence). *There is an equivalence of categories*

$$\text{MTDer}_H^{\text{Spr}}(\mathcal{N}) \cong \text{Der}^b(\text{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} - (\text{CH}_H^{\bullet}(Z, \mathbb{Q}), \star))$$

between the category of H -equivariant Springer motives and the derived category of graded right modules over $(\text{CH}_H^{\bullet}(Z, \mathbb{Q}), \star)$.

Here $Z = \mathcal{N} \times_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}} \mathcal{N}$ denotes the Steinberg variety and by $(\text{CH}_H^{\bullet}(Z, \mathbb{Q}), \star)$ the H -equivariant Chow groups of Z equipped with the convolution product. Using the explicit description of $(\text{CH}_H^{\bullet}(Z, \mathbb{Q}), \star)$ (see for example [ZZ17]) this yields the following

Corollary 1.4. *There are an equivalences of categories*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MTDer}_G^{\text{Spr}}(\mathcal{N}) &\cong \text{Der}^b(\text{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} - \mathbb{Q}[W] \# \mathbf{S}^{\bullet}(X(T))) \text{ and} \\ \text{MTDer}_{G \times \mathbb{G}_m}^{\text{Spr}}(\mathcal{N}) &\cong \text{Der}^b(\text{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} - \overline{\mathbb{H}}). \end{aligned}$$

Here $X(T)$ denotes the character group of a maximal torus $T \subset G$, W the Weyl group of G and $\mathbb{Q}[W] \# \mathbf{S}^{\bullet}(X(T))$ the semidirect product of the group algebra of W with the symmetric algebra of $X(T)$. Furthermore $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ denotes the graded affine Hecke algebra associated to G as defined by Lusztig [Lus89].

1.3. Relation To Other Work. The second half of this paper is a motivic version of the *derived Springer correspondence* as constructed by Rider [Rid13] in the context of equivariant mixed ℓ -adic sheaves. The motivic setup, as very recently introduced by Soergel–Wendt–Virk [SVW18], has certain advantages, as for example no non-trivial extensions between Tate objects. The motivic derived Springer correspondence follows almost immediately from the pure Tateness of the Springer fiber and furthermore yields an honest equivalence between Springer motives and graded modules over the corresponding algebras.

1.4. Future Work. (1) In upcoming work with Shane Kelly, generalizing [EK16], we define a formalism of equivariant motives with coefficients in a finite field. This will allow us to prove a modular motivic derived Springer correspondence analogously.

(2) It would be interesting to also consider a generalized motivic derived Springer correspondence along the lines of Rider–Russel [RR16] and [RR17].

1.5. Acknowledgements. We thank Raphaël Rouquier and Wolfgang Soergel for helpful discussions. We thank George Lusztig for answering a question about [DLP88].

2. MOTIVE OF THE SPRINGER FIBER

In this section we show how to translate the results of [DLP88] and [Jan04, Chapter 11] to motives and prove Theorem 1.1. In the following, k denotes an algebraically closed field and Λ a commutative ring of coefficients, such that either

- (1) resolution of singularities holds over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ or
- (2) the exponential characteristic of k is invertible in Λ .

For all standard properties of motives we refer to [MVW06, Sections 14, 16] and [Kel17, Section 5.3]. While [MVW06] assumes resolution of singularities for many statements about motives of singular schemes, [Kel17] shows that requiring that the exponential characteristic of k is invertible in the coefficient ring Λ suffices. For a variety $X \in \mathrm{Var}_k$ over k we denote its motive by $M(X) \in \mathrm{DM}(\mathrm{Spec}(k), \Lambda)$ and its motive with compact support by $M^c(X) \in \mathrm{DM}(\mathrm{Spec}(k), \Lambda)$. Furthermore, we denote the Tate motive by $\Lambda(1) = M(\mathbb{G}_m/\mathrm{Spec}(k))[-1]$.

2.1. Tate motives. We state some general results on pure Tate motives.

Definition 2.1. *A motive $M \in \mathrm{DM}(\mathrm{Spec}(k), \Lambda)$ is called pure Tate if it is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of Tate motives of the form $\Lambda(n)[2n]$.*

Definition 2.2. *Let $X \in \mathrm{Var}_k$. An α -partition of X is a finite family of subvarieties X_1, \dots, X_s of X such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^r X_i$ is closed in X for all $1 \leq r \leq s$. If each X_i is furthermore isomorphic to some affine space \mathbb{A}^n , we call the α -partition an affine paving of X .*

Lemma 2.3. *Let $X, Y \in \mathrm{Var}_k$ and $E \rightarrow X$ a vector bundle of rank r .*

- (1) *There is an isomorphism $\Lambda(p)[2p] \otimes \Lambda(q)[2q] \cong \Lambda(p+q)[2(p+q)]$.*
- (2) *If X is proper, then $M^c(X) = M(X)$.*
- (3) *There is an isomorphism $M(X \times Y) = M(X) \otimes M(Y)$ and if $M(X)$ and $M(Y)$ are pure Tate, then so is $M(X \times Y)$.*
- (4) *There is an isomorphism $M^c(X \times Y) = M^c(X) \otimes M^c(Y)$ and if $M^c(X)$ and $M^c(Y)$ are pure Tate, then so is $M^c(X \times Y)$.*
- (5) *There is an isomorphism $M^c(E) \cong M^c(X)(r)[2r]$ and $M^c(X)$ is pure Tate if and only if $M^c(E)$ is pure Tate.*
- (6) *There is an isomorphism*

$$M^c(\mathbb{P}(E)) \cong \bigoplus_{p=0}^{r-1} M^c(X)(p)[2p]$$

and $M^c(X)$ is pure Tate if and only if $M^c(\mathbb{P}(E))$ is pure Tate.

- (7) Let X be smooth and $Z \subset X$ be a closed smooth subvariety of codimension c . Denote the the blow-up of X along Z by $\text{Bl}_Z(X)$. Then

$$M(\text{Bl}_Z(X)) \cong M(X) \oplus \bigoplus_{p=1}^{c-1} M(Z)(p)[2p]$$

and $M(X)$ and $M(Z)$ are pure Tate if and only if $M(\text{Bl}_Z(X))$ is pure Tate.

- (8) If $Z \subset X$ is a closed subvariety and $U = X \setminus Z$. Then there is a distinguished triangle, called localisation triangle,

$$M^c(Z) \longrightarrow M^c(X) \longrightarrow M^c(U) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

and $M^c(X)$ is pure Tate if and only if $M^c(Z)$ and $M^c(U)$ are pure Tate.

- (9) If X_1, \dots, X_s is an α -partition of X , then $M^c(X)$ is pure Tate if and only if $M^c(X_i)$ is pure Tate for all i .
- (10) If X has an affine paving, then $M^c(X)$ is pure Tate.

Proof. (1)-(7) Are immediate.

(8) To show that $M^c(X)$ is pure Tate if $M^c(Z)$ and $M^c(U)$ are, we claim that the boundary map $M^c(U) \rightarrow M^c(Z)[1]$ in the localisation triangle vanishes. Let $\Lambda(q)[2q]$ and $\Lambda(p)[2p]$ be direct summands of $M^c(U)$ and $M^c(Z)[1]$, respectively. Then

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{DM}(\text{Spec}(k), \Lambda)}(\Lambda(q)[2q], \Lambda(p)[2p+1]) = \text{CH}^{p-q}(\text{Spec}(k), -1) \otimes \Lambda = 0$$

where the right hand side denotes a higher Chow group which vanishes since in general $\text{CH}^\bullet(-, -1) = 0$. Hence $M^c(X) = M^c(Z) \oplus M^c(U)$ and the statement follows.

(9) Follows by (8) and induction.

(10) Follows from $M^c(\mathbb{A}^n) = \Lambda(n)[2n]$ and (9). \square

As demonstrated in [Bro05], there is a Białynicki-Birula decomposition of motives of varieties with \mathbb{G}_m -actions. This can sometimes be used to show that a smooth projective variety is pure Tate.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $X \in \text{Var}_k$ be a smooth projective variety equipped with an action of \mathbb{G}_m . Then $M(X^{\mathbb{G}_m}) = M^c(X^{\mathbb{G}_m})$ is pure Tate if and only if $M(X) = M^c(X)$ is.*

Proof. The Białynicki-Birula theorem gives an α -partition of X into vector bundles on the connected components of $X^{\mathbb{G}_m}$. The statement follows using the previous lemma. \square

2.2. Prehomogeneous Vector Spaces and Pure Tateness. We show how the methods of [DLP88, Section 2] allow to prove that a certain variety associated to a prehomogeneous vector space is pure Tate. We recall some of their notation.

Let M be a connected algebraic group and V a prehomogeneous M -module, that is, V contains a dense M -orbit V^0 . Fix a $v \in V^0$ and denote by M_v its stabilizer in M . Let H be a closed Borel-subgroup in M and U an H -stable linear subspace of V . Let

$$M_U = \{g \in M \mid g^{-1}v \in U\} \text{ and} \\ X_U = M_U/H.$$

We are interested in the motive of the varieties X_U . By [DLP88, Lemma 2.2(i)] and since H is a Borel subgroup, X_U is a smooth projective variety.

Let Γ be the set of H -stable subspaces of V . For $U \in \Gamma$, let P_U be the stabilizer of U in M and denote $\delta(U) = \dim(M/P_U) - \dim(V/U)$ and $\gamma(U) = \dim(M/H) - \dim(V/U)$. In [DLP88, Section 2.7], Γ is equipped with the structure of a directed graph, whose edges (U, U') have the property

- (1) $U \subset U'$
- (2) $\dim U'/U = 1$
- (3) There exists a parabolic subgroup $P \supset H$ of semisimple rank 1 and $U'' \in \Gamma$ such that $U'' \subset U$, $P \subset P_{U''}$, $P \not\subset P_U$, $P \subset P_{U'}$ and $\dim U/U'' = 1$.

Then, the M -module V is called *good* if for any $U \in \Lambda$ either $U \subset U'$ for some $U' \in \Gamma$ with $\delta(U') < 0$, or U lies in the same component of Λ as some $U' \in \Gamma$ with $\delta(U') \leq 0$.

In [DLP88, Proposition 2.12] it is then shown that under the condition that V is good the Borel–Moore-homology of X_U is torsion free, concentrated in even degrees and generated by algebraic cycles. We copy their arguments and show that $M(X_U)$ is pure Tate.

Proposition 2.5. *Assume that the M -module V is good. Then $M(X_U)$ is pure Tate for all $U \in \Gamma$.*

Proof. To translate the inductive argument used in [DLP88] to the world of motives, we will use the slice filtration ν for effective motives as studied in [HK06].

To any X_U , this associates a family of objects $\nu_{<n}M(X_U)$ for $n \geq 0$ and a family of compatible morphisms $\nu_{<n}M(X_U) \rightarrow \nu_{<m}M(X_U)$ for $n \geq m$. By definition $\nu_{<0}M(X_U) = 0$ and since X_U is a smooth projective variety by [HK06, Propositions 1.7, 1.8] we have $\nu_{<n}M(X_U) = M(X_U)$ for $n > \dim X_U$.

Hence it suffices to show that $\nu_{<n}M(X_U)$ is pure Tate for all n . For each $U \in \Lambda$ and $n \geq 0$ consider the following statement

(PT_n) $\nu_{<n}M(X_U)$ is pure Tate.

We prove this by induction on n . If $n = 0$, then $\nu_{<n}M(X_U) = 0$. Now let $n \geq 1$ and assume that (PT_{n-1}) holds for all $U \in \Gamma$.

If $U \in \Gamma$ is contained in $U' \in \Gamma$ with $\delta(U') < 0$, then X_U is empty and hence $M(X_U) = 0$ pure Tate. If $\delta(U) \leq 0$, then X_U is a finite disjoint union of flag varieties isomorphic to P_U/H . The Bruhat decomposition provides an affine paving of P_U/H . Hence $M(X_U)$ is pure Tate by Lemma 2.3.

Since V is good, for each connected component of Γ there is hence some U for which (PT_n) holds. So the statement of the proposition reduces to the following lemma. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Assume that $n \geq 1$ and (PT_{n-1}) holds for all $U_1 \in \Gamma$. Let $U \subset U'$ be an edge in Γ . Then (PT_n) holds for U if and only if (PT_n) holds for U' .*

Proof. Let U'' and P as in property (3) of edges of Γ . Let

$$Z = \{(gH, g'H) \in M/H \times M/H \mid g^{-1}v \in U \text{ and } g^{-1}g' \in P\}.$$

Then by [DLP88, Lemma 2.11] $Z \rightarrow X_U$ is the projectivization of a vector bundle of rank two $E \rightarrow X_U$ and furthermore $Z \cong \text{Bl}_{X_{U''}}(X_{U'})$, where $X_{U''} \subset X_{U'}$ is a closed subvariety of codimension two. Hence by the projective bundle and blow-up formula we have

$$M(X_U) \oplus M(X_U)(1)[2] = M(Z) = M(X_{U'}) \oplus M(X_{U''})(1)[2].$$

Applying $\nu_{<n}$ yields that

$$\nu_{<n}(M(X_U) \oplus M(X_U)(1)[2]) = \nu_{<n}(M(X_U)) \oplus \nu_{<n-1}(M(X_U))(1)[2]$$

equals

$$\nu_{<n}(M(X_{U'}) \oplus M(X_{U''})(1)[2]) = \nu_{<n}(M(X_{U'})) \oplus \nu_{<n-1}(M(X_{U''}))(1)[2]$$

where we use that $\nu_{<n}(-1) = \nu_{<n-1}(-)(1)$, see [HK06, Corollary 1.4(v)]. Now $\nu_{<n-1}(M(X_{U''}))$ and $\nu_{<n-1}(M(X_U))$ are pure Tate by induction, and the Statement follows. \square

2.3. Springer Fiber is Pure Tate. We prove Theorem 1.1 from the introduction. Let G be reductive algebraic group over k , $N \in \mathcal{N} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be an element of the nilpotent cone in the Lie algebra of G and $\mathcal{B}_N = \mu^{-1}(N) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ the Springer fiber in the Springer resolution $\mu : \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$. Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g} \mid \mathfrak{b} \text{ is a Borel subalgebra}\}$$

denote the flag variety. Then we can identify

$$\mathcal{B}_N = \{\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g} \mid N \in \mathfrak{b}\} \subset \mathcal{B}.$$

The goal is to prove that $M(\mathcal{B}_N) \in \mathrm{DM}(\mathrm{Spec}(k), \Lambda)$ is pure Tate. We note that the Springer fiber is proper and hence $M^c(\mathcal{B}_N) = M(\mathcal{B}_N)$.

As the Springer fiber only depends on the isogeny class of the semisimple part of G , we may assume that G is of adjoint type and hence a direct product of its simple constituents (see [Jan04, Section 2.7]). Furthermore, a Springer fiber of a direct product of groups decomposes into a direct product as well, and by Lemma 2.33 it suffices to consider each individual factor.

So we can assume that G is a simple algebraic group. If G is a classical group, that is, of type A, B, C or D , then \mathcal{B}_N admits a paving by affine spaces by [DLP88] if $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$ and more general by [Jan04, Theorem 11.22] if $p = \mathrm{char}(k)$ is good for G . Hence $M(\mathcal{B}_N)$ is pure Tate in this case by Lemma 2.3.

We can hence assume that G is a simple group of exceptional type E, F or G and assume that $p > 3(h+1)$, where h denotes the Coxeter-number of G . We proceed as in [DLP88, Section 3.4].

There exists a special cocharacter $\tau : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ associated to N , see [Jan04, Section 5.2] for a definition and existence and uniqueness result, alternatively use the Morozov–Jacobson theorem, which holds since $p > 3(p-1)$. This cocharacter induces a decomposition of \mathfrak{g} into even weight spaces

$$\mathfrak{g} := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}(2n, \tau),$$

such that $N \in \mathfrak{g}(2, \tau)$. Let $G_0 \subset P \subset G$ be the Levi and parabolic subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(0, \tau)$ and $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}(2n, \tau)$, respectively, and let $S = \tau(\mathbb{G}_m)$. Now \mathcal{B}_N admits an α -filtration by intersecting it with the P -orbits \mathcal{O} on \mathcal{B} . Each of those intersections $\mathcal{B}_{N, \mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{B}_N \cap \mathcal{O}$ is smooth projective.

So $M(\mathcal{B}_N)$ is pure Tate if and only if $M(\mathcal{B}_{N, \mathcal{O}})$ is pure Tate for each \mathcal{O} by Lemma 2.3. Furthermore each $M(\mathcal{B}_{N, \mathcal{O}})$ is pure Tate if and only if $M(\mathcal{B}_{N, \mathcal{O}}^S)$ is pure Tate by Lemma 2.4.

By a similar argument to [DLP88, Section 3.6] and using Lemmata 2.3, 2.4 again, we can reduce to the case that N is in fact a distinguished nilpotent element, so not already contained in the Lie algebra of any proper Levi subgroup of G .

Let $B_0 \subset G_0$ be a Borel subgroup. Denote its Lie algebra by \mathfrak{b}_0 . Then there is a unique $\mathfrak{b}_\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}^S$ with $\mathfrak{b}_\mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{g}(0, \tau) = \mathfrak{b}_0$. Let $U_\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{b}_\mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{g}(2, \tau)$. This is a B_0 -stable linear subspace of the prehomogeneous G_0 -module $\mathfrak{g}(2, \tau)$. We can hence consider the variety $X_{U_\mathcal{O}}$ as defined in Section 2.2. In fact the map $X_{U_\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{N, \mathcal{O}}^S, gB_0 \mapsto g\mathfrak{b}_\mathcal{O}$ is an isomorphism.

Now [DLP88] show by an involved case by case computation that the G_0 -modules $\mathfrak{g}(2, \tau)$ arising in this way from a distinguished nilpotent element for an exceptional group are *good*. This computation, as the whole paper, is carried out for $k = \mathbb{C}$ but also works as long as the Morozov–Jacobson theorem holds, so in particular if $p > 3(h - 1)$. We thank George Lusztig for answering a question about that. We can hence use Proposition 2.5 to see that $M(X_{U_\mathcal{O}}) = M(\mathcal{B}_{N, \mathcal{O}}^S)$ is pure Tate.

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3. EQUIVARIANT SPRINGER MOTIVES

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3. We assume that $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and $\Lambda = \mathbb{Q}$. We denote a variety $X \in \mathbf{Var}_k$ with an action of a linear group H by $(H \curvearrowright X) \in \mathbf{Var}_k$. Morphism between varieties with action are given by pairs

$$(\phi, f) : (H_1 \curvearrowright X_1) \rightarrow (H_2 \curvearrowright X_2)$$

of a morphism of linear groups and a morphism of varieties compatible with the actions. If $\phi = \text{id}$ is the identity morphism, we will often drop it from the notation. In [SVW18, Chapter I] associate to the datum $(H \curvearrowright X)$ the \mathbb{Q} -linear tensor triangulated category¹ of *H-equivariant \mathbb{D} -motives on X* denoted by $\mathbb{D}_H^+(X)$. We denote the tensor unit by $\mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}_X$. The system of categories $\mathbb{D}_H^\pm(-)$ comes equipped with a six-functor-formalism and induction/restriction functors, very similar to the equivariant derived category of Bernstein–Lunts. In the case that X has finitely many H -orbits, [SVW18, Chapter II] defines the category *G-equivariant orbitwise mixed Tate motives* $\text{MTDer}_H(X) \subset \mathbb{D}_H^+(X)$ which are analogous to constructible equivariant sheaves. From now on we consider the case $H = G$ or $H = G \times \mathbb{G}_m$ and $X = \mathcal{N}$.

3.1. Orbitwise Pure Tateness of the Springer motive. In the introduction we cheated a bit. A priori, it is not clear that the Springer motive $\mu_!(\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}) = \mu_*(\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}) \in \mathbb{D}_H^+(\mathcal{N})$ already lives in the subcategory $\text{MTDer}_H(\mathcal{N})$. In this section we show how the pure Tateness of the Springer fiber implies this and that $\mu_!(\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}})$ is additionally pointwise pure.

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathbb{O} be an H -orbit on \mathcal{N} . Let N be a point in \mathbb{O} and denote by $H_N \subset H$ its stabilizer. Denote the corresponding morphisms of varieties with group action by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= (\text{id}, \mu) : (H \curvearrowright \tilde{\mathcal{N}}) \hookrightarrow (H \curvearrowright \mathcal{N}) \\ j &= (\text{id}, j) : (H \hookrightarrow \mathbb{O}) \hookrightarrow (H \curvearrowright \mathcal{N}) \\ (\iota, i) &: (H_N \curvearrowright \{N\}) \hookrightarrow (H \curvearrowright \mathbb{O}) \end{aligned}$$

Then for $? \in \{, !\}$ we have a chain of functors*

¹We work with $\mathbb{D}(-) = \text{DA}_{\acute{e}t}(-, \mathbb{Q})$, the homotopical stable algebraic derivator of étale motives with rational coefficients over the category of varieties \mathbf{Var}_k over k . We note that $\text{DA}_{\acute{e}t}(\text{Spec}(k), \mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{DM}(\text{Spec}(k), \mathbb{Q})$ which is the category of motives we considered in the first part of the paper.

$$\mathbb{D}_H^+(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}) \xrightarrow{\mu_! = \mu_*} \mathbb{D}_H^+(\mathcal{N}) \xrightarrow{j^?} \mathbb{D}_H^+(\mathbb{O}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D}_{H_N}^+(\{N\}) \xrightarrow{\text{For}} \mathbb{D}^+(\text{Spec}(k))$$

where For is the functor forgetting the H_N action. We claim that

$$\text{For}(\iota, i)^* j^? \mu_!(\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}) \in \mathbb{D}^+(\text{Spec}(k))$$

is pure Tate, that is, a finite direct sum of Tate motives $\mathbb{1}(n)[2n]$.

Proof. Since the forgetful functor For commutes with all six functors, it suffices to show the corresponding statement where we forget about all group actions. Since μ is proper and hence $\mu_* = \mu_!$, it suffices to show the statement for $? = *$ by duality. Now we apply base change for the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}_N & \xrightarrow{k} & \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \\ \mu_N \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ \{N\} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{N} \end{array}$$

and see that

$$\text{For}(\iota, i)^* j^? \mu_!(\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}) \cong \text{fin}_{\mathcal{B}_N, !}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{B}_N}) \in \mathbb{D}^+(\text{Spec}(k))$$

where by $\text{fin}_{\mathcal{B}_N} : \mathcal{B}_N = \mu^{-1}(x) \rightarrow \{N\} = \text{Spec}(k)$ we denote the projection to the point. Since we are working with rational coefficients there is a natural equivalence

$$\mathbb{D}^+(\text{Spec}(k)) = \text{DA}_{\acute{e}t}(\text{Spec}(k), \mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{DM}(\text{Spec}(k), \mathbb{Q}),$$

see [Ayo14], and the Verdier dual of $\text{fin}_{\mathcal{B}_N, !}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{B}_N}) \in \mathbb{D}^+(\text{Spec}(k))$ corresponds to $M^c(\mathcal{B}_N) \in \text{DM}(\text{Spec}(k), \mathbb{Q})$, the motive of the Springer fiber. But $M^c(\mathcal{B}_N)$ is pure Tate by Theorem 1.1. \square

By definition, $\text{MTDer}_H(\mathcal{N})$ consists of motives M such that

$$\text{For}(\iota, i)^* j^? M \in \langle \mathbb{1}(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle_{\Delta} \subset \mathbb{D}^+(\text{Spec}(k))$$

is mixed Tate, i.e. contained in the triangulated category generated by motives $\mathbb{1}(n) \in \mathbb{D}^+(\text{Spec}(k))$, for each orbit $j : \mathbb{O} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}$, $? \in \{*, !\}$ and $(\iota, i)^*$ defined as above. A motive M is called *pointwise pure* if additionally $\text{For}(\iota, i)^* j^? M$ is pure Tate (so a direct sum of motives $\mathbb{1}(p)[2p]$). This gives us the following

Corollary 3.2. *We have $\mu_*(\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}) \in \text{MTDer}_H(\mathcal{N})$ and $\mu_*(\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}})$ is pointwise pure.*

3.2. Tilting and Formality for Springer motives. Denote by

$$\text{MTDer}_H^{\text{Spr}}(\mathcal{N})_{\text{add}} = \langle \mu_*(\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}})(n)[2n] \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle_{\oplus, \epsilon} \subset \text{MTDer}_H^{\text{Spr}}(\mathcal{N})$$

the idempotent closed additive subcategory of $\text{MTDer}_H^{\text{Spr}}(\mathcal{N})$ generated by the Springer motive and its Tate twists/shifts.

Lemma 3.3. *The category $\text{MTDer}_H^{\text{Spr}}(\mathcal{N})_{\text{add}}$ is a tilting subcategory of $\mathbb{D}_H^+(\mathcal{N})$, meaning that it is*

- (1) *idempotent closed, additive and*
- (2) *$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{D}_H^+(\mathcal{N})}(M, N[i]) = 0$ for all $M, N \in \text{MTDer}_H^{\text{Spr}}(\mathcal{N})_{\text{add}}$ and $i \neq 0$.*

Proof. (1) Holds by construction.

(2) Holds by the pointwise purity of the objects in $\text{MTDer}_H^{\text{Spr}}(\mathcal{N})_{\text{add}}$ and the argument in the proof of [SVW18, Proposition III.3.32]. \square

This allows us to apply the tilting formalism and show

Theorem 3.4. *There is an equivalence of categories, called tilting, between*

$$\text{tilt} : \text{Hot}^b(\text{MTDer}_H^{\text{Spr}}(\mathcal{N})_{\text{add}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{MTDer}_H^{\text{Spr}}(\mathcal{N})$$

the homotopy category of bounded chain complexes $\text{MTDer}_H^{\text{Spr}}(\mathcal{N})_{\text{add}}$ and the category of Springer motives $\text{MTDer}_H^{\text{Spr}}(\mathcal{N})$.

Proof. Since by Lemma 3.3 $\text{MTDer}_H^{\text{Spr}}(\mathcal{N})_{\text{add}}$ is a tilting subcategory of $\mathbb{D}_H^+(\mathcal{N})$ by [SVW18, Theorem B.3.1], which establishes a tilting formalism for stable derivators, there is a fully faithful functor, called *tilting*,

$$\text{tilt} : \text{Hot}^b(\text{MTDer}_H^{\text{Spr}}(\mathcal{N})_{\text{add}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_H^+(\mathcal{N}).$$

The essential image of *tilt* is the triangulated subcategory of $\mathbb{D}_H^+(\mathcal{N})$ generated by $\text{MTDer}_H^{\text{Spr}}(\mathcal{N})_{\text{add}}$ which is $\text{MTDer}_H^{\text{Spr}}(\mathcal{N})$ by definition. \square

We name the \mathbb{Z} -graded “Ext”-algebra² of the Springer motive $\mu_*(\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}})$

$$E := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} E^n, \text{ where } E^n = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{D}_H^+(\mathcal{N})}(\mu_*(\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}), \mu_*(\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}})(n)[2n]).$$

We denote the shift of grading functor for graded E -modules by $\langle - \rangle$. We can rephrase the last theorem as

Corollary 3.5. *There is an equivalence of categories*

$$\text{Der}^b(\text{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} - E) \rightarrow \text{MTDer}_H^{\text{Spr}}(\mathcal{N})$$

between the bounded derived category of finitely generated graded right modules over E and the category of Springer motives.

Proof. The category $\text{MTDer}_H^{\text{Spr}}(\mathcal{N})_{\text{add}}$ can be identified with the full subcategory

$$\langle E \langle n \rangle \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle_{\oplus, \epsilon} \subset \text{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} - E.$$

of graded right E -modules generated by direct sums and summand of shifts of E . By the explicit description of E , see the next section, E has finite cohomological dimension, and hence the homotopy category of bounded chain complexes in $\langle E \langle n \rangle \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle_{\oplus, \epsilon}$ is equivalent to the bounded derived category of graded E -modules. \square

3.3. Description of the “Ext”-algebra E . The last step in the proof of Theorem 1.3 is to explicitly describe the “Ext”-algebra E . Recall that $Z = \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \times_{\mathcal{N}} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ denotes the Steinberg variety and that H acts on Z by the diagonal action. We repeat some results from [CG10, Section 8.6], who give an explicit description of the sheaf-theoretic version of E in terms of the Borel–Moore homology of the Steinberg-variety. Their results translate to the motivic setting almost word by word, all that is needed is a six-functor formalism.

Lemma 3.6. *There is an isomorphism of graded algebras*

$$E \cong (\text{CH}_H^\bullet(Z, \mathbb{Q}), \star)$$

between E and the H -equivariant Chow groups of Z equipped with the convolution product.

²We put the quotation marks here because of the Tate twists which is not part of the definition of the true Ext-algebra. In fact, the true Ext-algebra is concentrated in degree 0, by Lemma 3.3.

Proof. We just show that $E^n \cong \mathrm{CH}_H^n(Z, \mathbb{Q})$ as a vector space here. The statements about the algebra structure can be deduced as in [CG10, Section 8.6]. Consider the cartesian diagram of H -varieties:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{p_2} & \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \\ p_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ \tilde{\mathcal{N}} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathcal{N} \end{array}$$

Denote for a variety $X \in \mathrm{Var}_k$ its structure map by $\mathrm{fin}_X : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(k) = pt$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is smooth we have $\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}} = \mathrm{fin}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}^*(\mathbb{1}_{pt}) = \mathrm{fin}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}^!(\mathbb{1}_{pt})(-d)[-2d]$ where $d = \dim(\tilde{\mathcal{N}})$. Furthermore note that μ is proper and hence $\mu_! = \mu_*$. Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} E^n &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{D}_H^+(\mathcal{N})}(\mu_!(\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}), \mu_*(\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}})(n)[2n]) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{D}_H^+(\tilde{\mathcal{N}})}(\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}, \mu^! \mu_*(\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}})(n)[2n]) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{D}_H^+(\tilde{\mathcal{N}})}(\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}, p_{1,*} p_2^!(\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}})(n)[2n]) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{D}_H^+(\tilde{\mathcal{N}})}(\mathrm{fin}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}^*(\mathbb{1}_{pt}), p_{1,*} p_2^! \mathrm{fin}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}^!(\mathbb{1}_{pt})(n-d)[2(n-d)]) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{D}_H^+(pt)}(\mathbb{1}_{pt}, \mathrm{fin}_{Z,*} \mathrm{fin}_Z^!(\mathbb{1}_{pt})(n-d)[2(n-d)]) \\ &= \mathrm{CH}_H^{\dim(Z)+(n-d)}(Z, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{CH}_H^n(Z, \mathbb{Q}) \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used [SVW18, Theorem II.2.9.] (there is no resolution of singularities for $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ necessary here, using [Kel17, Section 5.2] and that p is invertible in \mathbb{Q}) and that Z is of dimension $\dim(Z) = d$. \square

REFERENCES

- [Ayo14] Joseph Ayoub, *A guide to (étale) motivic sheaves*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Seoul 2014. Vol. II, Kyung Moon Sa, Seoul, 2014, pp. 1101–1124. MR 3728654
- [Bro05] Patrick Brosnan, *On motivic decompositions arising from the method of Białynicki-Birula*, *Inventiones mathematicae* **161** (2005), no. 1, 91–111.
- [CG10] Neil Chriss and Victor Ginzburg, *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2010, Reprint of the 1997 edition. MR 2838836
- [DLP88] Corrado De Concini, George Lusztig, and Claudio Procesi, *Homology of the zero-set of a nilpotent vector field on a flag manifold*, *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* **1** (1988), no. 1, 15–34.
- [EK16] Jens Niklas Eberhardt and Shane Kelly, *Mixed motives and geometric representation theory in equal characteristic*, 2016.
- [HK06] Annette Huber and Bruno Kahn, *The slice filtration and mixed Tate motives*, *Compositio Mathematica* **142** (2006), no. 4, 907936.
- [Jan04] Jens Carsten Jantzen, *Nilpotent orbits in representation theory*, pp. 1–211, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2004.
- [Kel17] Shane Kelly, *Voevodsky motives and ldh-descent*, *Astérisque* (2017), no. 391, 125. MR 3673293
- [Lus89] George Lusztig, *Affine Hecke algebras and their graded version*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2** (1989), no. 3, 599–635. MR 991016
- [MVW06] Carlo Mazza, Vladimir Voevodsky, and Charles Weibel, *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*, Clay Mathematics Monographs, vol. 2, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Clay Mathematics Institute, Cambridge, MA, 2006. MR 2242284
- [Rid13] Laura Rider, *Formality for the nilpotent cone and a derived Springer correspondence*, *Adv. Math.* **235** (2013), 208–236. MR 3010057

- [RR16] Laura Rider and Amber Russell, *Perverse sheaves on the nilpotent cone and Lusztig's generalized Springer correspondence*, Lie algebras, Lie superalgebras, vertex algebras and related topics, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 92, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016, pp. 273–292. MR 3644235
- [RR17] ———, *Formality and Lusztig's generalized Springer correspondence*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.07783 (2017).
- [SVW18] Wolfgang Soergel, Rahbar Virk, and Matthias Wendt, *Equivariant motives and geometric representation theory. (with an appendix by F. Hörmann and M. Wendt)*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.05480 (2018).
- [ZZ17] Gufang Zhao and Changlong Zhong, *Geometric representations of the formal affine hecke algebra*, Advances in Mathematics **317** (2017), 50 – 90.